# EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff's Page Line Designations & RRI Defendants' Objections

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

H.B.,	) CIVIL ACTION FILE
Plaintiff, v.	) NO. 1:22-cv-01181-JPB
RED ROOF INNS, INC., et al.,	)
Defendants.	)
	, )

## RRI DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S PAGE:LINE DESIGNATIONS

## **Andrew Alexander (Doc. 123):**

Page:Line	Objection	Response
19:25 – 20:19	Irrelevant (401)	
21:2 – 14	Irrelevant (401)	
32:9-12	Irrelevant; confusion of	
	issues (403)	
91:9-23	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
92:25 – 93:3; 93:6-12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
95:8-14	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
236:25 – 237:22	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	

## **Michele Wherle:**

Page:Line	Objection	Response
95:23-25	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403)	

96:4-22	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
98:8-18	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial	
100:23 – 101:1	Misleading and unfairly	
	prejudicial (403) (the	
	witness already testified	
	to prostitution training	
	much earlier than the	
	question pre-supposes)	

## Vince Vittatoe (Doc. 119):

Page:Line	Objection	Response
22:3-7	Irrelevant (401)	
22:21-24	Irrelevant (401)	
24:8-10, 25	Irrelevant (401)	
66:6-12	Irrelevant (401)	
70:21-24	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
115:22 – 117:12	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
120:20 – 122:5	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
131:20 – 133:23	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial and confusion	
	of issues (403); improper	
	character evidence (404);	
	hearsay without any	
	exceptions	
145:16 – 146:7	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	

#### Michael Thomas (Doc. 120):

Red Roof objects to the use of Michael Thomas' deposition as he was not a percipient fact witness to any events that occurred regarding Plaintiff or the property at issue in this case. His testimony about what occurred at another property is irrelevant to the elements of Plaintiff's TVPRA claim. Moreover, it is unfairly prejudicial and confuses the issues for the jury as to what allegedly occurred at another property. Thomas' testimony creates a trial within a trial on what occurred at the Buckhead property. Finally, testimony about occurrences at an unrelated property, involving unrelated ventures and unrelated trafficking victims is barred by Evid.R. 404(a)(1) as it is an attempt to prove bad character by Red Roof Inn and taint the jury. Therefore, his entire testimony is irrelevant. Red Roof specifically objects to each designated portion of the testimony below. Further, Red Roof has provided counter designations to Mr. Thomas' testimony to the extent the Court overrules its objection only.

Page:Line	Objection	Response
14:24 – 15:5	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	
15:22-24	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	
16:4-13	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	
17:14 – 19:9	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	
27:2 – 39:20	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	

	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404);	
	speculation	
40:6 – 46:4	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404);	
	speculation; hearsay	
46:18 – 48:11	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	
48:12 – 49:2	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404);	
	speculation	

## **Greg Stocker Individual:**

Page:Line	Objection	Response
17:7-10	Confusion of issues (403)	
	(there are not 5 Red Roof	
	entities that are	
	defendants in this case)	
27:10-25	Irrelevant (401) and	
	confusion of issues (403)	
	(as to prostitution)	
28:11 – 29:1	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	needlessly cumulative	
31:25 – 32:13	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	

33:3 – 33:15	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
34:6-19	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
36:17 – 37:14	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
37:19-22	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
38:8-11	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
38:15-19	Irrelevant (401);	
30.13 1)	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
38:22-23	Irrelevant (401);	
30.22 23	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
39:1-3	Irrelevant (401);	
37.1 3	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
39:6-11	Irrelevant (401);	
37.0-11	confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
39:14-15		
39.14-13	Irrelevant (401); confusion of issues (403);	
	lacks factual foundation	
40:1 – 41:1	Irrelevant (401);	
40.1 – 41.1		
42.19.24	confusion of issues (403)	
42:18-24	Irrelevant (401);	
42.0 44.11	confusion of issues (403)	
43:9 – 44:11	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues/	
55 O 15	unfairly prejudicial (403)	
55:9-15	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	

55:20 – 56:2	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
56:6-8	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
57:4-14	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
57:20-23	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
59:17 – 60:7	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
61:24 – 62:7	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
70:15-20	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403);
	privileged
78:4 – 80:21	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
81:4-19	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
82:9-14	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
94:23 – 95:14	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
96:7 – 99:5	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403
99:17-21	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
100:14 - 101:6	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
102:3-13	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403);
	privileged
140:13-18	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)

## <u>Jay Moyer, March 18, 2022 (W.K.) (Doc. 117):</u>

Page:Line	Objection	Response
I age.Line	Objection	Itesponse

25:1-7	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403)	
29:18-21	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
32:10 – 33:9	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
35:1-10	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
45:15 – 46:18	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
46:24 – 47:16	Irrelevant (401);	
10.21	confusion of issues (403)	
48:10 – 50:17	Irrelevant (401);	
30.17	confusion of issues (403)	
52:19 – 53:10	Irrelevant (401);	
32.17 33.10	confusion of issues (403)	
54:21 – 56:15	Irrelevant (401);	
34.21 – 30.13	confusion of issues (403);	
	, , , , ,	
59.10 50.10	improper hypothetical	
58:10 – 59:19	Irrelevant (401);	
120.1 120.24	confusion of issues (403)	
120:1 – 128:24	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
122.22	evidence (404)	
129:23 – 133:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper character	
	evidence (404)	
133:24 – 134:21	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	needlessly cumulative	
135:1 – 138:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	

	(403); needlessly	
	cumulative; improper	
	character evidence (404)	
141:1-14	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); needlessly	
	cumulative; improper	
	character evidence (404)	
142:1 – 144:5	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
144:10 – 145:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
145:24 – 146:4	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
147:2 – 152:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
152:21 – 153:10	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
155:19 – 157:11	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
158:3 – 162:1	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
173:18 – 174:8	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
174:12 – 175:19	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
181:9 - 20	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	improper hypothetical	
181:25 – 182:2	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	

	improper character	
	improper character	
102 7 107 7	evidence (404); hearsay	
183:7 – 187:7	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404); hearsay	
187:15-17	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404); hearsay	
187:21 – 193:7	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404); hearsay	
201:3-5	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
202:6-8	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
202:18-23	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
204:13 – 209:5	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
209:14-22	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
211:11 – 220:19	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
	` ′	

228:4-5	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
228.4-3	prejudicial (403);	
	1 0	
	improper character	
220.14 227.2	evidence (404)	
228:14 – 237:2	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial (403);	
	improper character	
	evidence (404)	
251:2-6	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial/confusion of	
	issues; (403); improper	
	character evidence (404)	
251:12 – 252:25	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial/confusion of	
	issues; (403); improper	
	character evidence (404)	
253:14 – 262:9	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial/confusion of	
	issues; (403); improper	
	character evidence (404)	
284:13-14	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial/confusion of	
	issues; (403); improper	
	character evidence (404)	
285:3 – 288:14	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial/confusion of	
	issues; (403); improper	
	character evidence (404)	
335:21 – 337:20	Irrelevant (401); unfairly	
	prejudicial/confusion of	
	issues; (403); improper	
	character evidence (404)	
<u> </u>		

## Deposition of Jay Moyer, March 24, 2023 (H.B.) (Doc. 122):

Page:Line	Objection	Response
16:24 - 18:10	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	

18:21 – 20:13	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	improper hypothetical	
20:19 – 22:23	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
26:7-15	Foundation	
32:8-19	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403)	
33:17-24	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403)	
34:2 – 35:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403)	
36:13 – 37:4	Hearsay	
37:11 – 38:6	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403)	
39:10 – 40:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403)	

## **Deposition of Glen Galbraith, March 24, 2023 (92-5):**

Page:Line	Objection	Response
38:16-17; 39:2 – 40:25;	Irrelevant (401);	
41:5-20	confusion of issues (403);	
	needlessly cumulative	
43:4-18	Irrelevant (401);	
	needlessly cumulative	
60:5-17	Irrelevant (401);	
	argumentative; confusion	
	of issues (403)	

62:21-25	Irrelevant (401); seeks a	
02.21 - 23	legal conclusion;	
	foundation; improper	
	legal standard; confusion	
10.00	of issues (403)	
63:2-8	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
63:23 – 65:19	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	seeks legal conclusion;	
	improper legal standard	
70.5 - 72.10	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
75:17-21; 76:13 – 77:11	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	hearsay	
77:18 – 78:12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	foundation	
78:18 – 79:8	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	foundation	
79:9 – 80:6	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	hearsay; foundation	
80:21 – 81:6; 81:13 –	Irrelevant (401);	
83:17	confusion of issues (403)	
83:20 – 85:20	Irrelevant (401);	
03.20	confusion of issues (403)	
86:3-6; 86:11-15	Irrelevant (401);	
00.5 0, 00.11-15	confusion of issues (403)	
87:6 – 89:18	Irrelevant (401);	
07.0 - 07.10	confusion of issues (403)	
90:22 – 92:15	Irrelevant (401);	
70.22 - 72.13	confusion of issues (403)	
93:5 – 96:4	Irrelevant (401);	
73.3	confusion of issues (403)	
96:20 – 97:1	` ,	
	Hearsay anapylation	
97:8 – 98:7	Hearsay; speculation	

98:9 – 105:18	Hearsay; speculation;
70.7 103.10	irrelevant (401); unfairly
	prejudicial (403);
	foundation
105:21 – 108:2	
103.21 – 108.2	Speculation; calls for lay
	opinion; improper
100 4 110 1	attorney testimony
108:4 – 110:1	Speculation; improper
	lay opinion; improper
	attorney testimony
110:4 – 111:12	Hearsay; foundation
111:16-17	Hearsay
112:9 – 118:1	Hearsay; unfairly
	prejudicial (403)
119:22 - 122:20	Hearsay; unfairly
	prejudicial (403);
	Irrelevant (401)
122:21 – 126:12	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
135:25 – 136:24	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403);
	foundation
141:12 – 16	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
143:5 – 144:21	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
145:16 – 148:10	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403)
149:24 – 151:15	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403);
	assumes facts not in
	evidence; improper
	hypothetical;
151:16 – 154:4	Irrelevant (401);
	confusion of issues (403);
	foundation
155:19 – 156:23	Irrelevant (401);
133.17 130.23	confusion of issues (403)
	confusion of issues (TO3)

156:24 – 158:14	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	needlessly cumulative	
160:12-20	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
162:2 – 163:4	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper	
	hypothetical; seeks	
	irrelevant legal	
	conclusions	
163:11 – 165:2	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403); improper	
	hypothetical; seeks	
	irrelevant legal	
	conclusions	
170:7-10	Not testimony	
175:10 – 176:2	Seeks legal conclusion;	
	improper lay opinion;	
	portions not testimony	

## John Park Deposition (Doc. 125):

Page:Line	Objection	Response
14:13-18; 15:2-7	Confusion of issues	
	(there are not 5	
	defendants in this case)	
	(403)	
58:22 – 59:22	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403);	
	needlessly cumulative	
63:1-12	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
63:22 – 64:3	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	

68:22 – 69:1	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
69:14-19	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
72:4-13	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of issues (403)	
122:17-25	Irrelevant (401);	
	confusion of	
	issues/unfairly prejudicial	
	(403)	